1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
2	HOUSTON DIVISION
3	
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA . 4:15-CR-00263-1
5	VERSUS . HOUSTON, TEXAS
6	ASHER ABID KHAN . JUNE 2, 2015
7	
8 9	TRANSCRIPT OF IN-CHAMBERS CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE LYNN N. HUGHES UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10	APPEARANCES
11	
12	FOR THE GOVERNMENT:
13	Carolyn Ferko and Abe Martinez Assistant United States Attorneys
14	1000 Louisiana Suite 2300
15	Houston, Texas 77002
16	FOR THE DEFENDANT:
17	Thomas S. Berg Attorney at Law
18	4306 Yoakum Boulevard Suite 400
19	Houston, Texas 77006
20	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER:
21	Mayra Malone, CSR, RMR, CRR U.S. Courthouse
22	515 Rusk, Room 8004 Houston, Texas 77002
23	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography. Transcript
24	produced by computer-aided transcription.
25	

PROCEEDINGS 1 Tell me about M. 2 THE COURT: MS. FERKO: That is Mais Suidad. She is a friend of 3 Asher Khan's for quite a while. 4 5 THE COURT: What kind of friend? 6 MS. FERKO: With religion, I would not call her a girlfriend. 7 They went to school together. She, I believe, is 8 one grade younger than he is. I'm not sure about age. 9 just talking about grade. I don't know if something occurred, 10 but she is a confidant of his. Her family is from Iraq. 11 did not mention this in the hearing. Initially Asher Khan had contacted Mais because of her family contacts in Iraq. He had 12 13 wanted to join ISIS. He didn't know how. He reached out 14 online to numerous individuals. 15 THE COURT: Why didn't he take a bus to Syria? 16 have plenty of them there. MS. FERKO: And so he talked to her specifically --17 THE COURT: Or join the French Foreign Legion and go 18 to France. 19 20 She actually has specific cousins in Iraq MS. FERKO: that live there, and he was talking while he was living in 21 Australia --2.2 THE COURT: I still have relatives in Canada. 23

Mayra Malone, CSR, RMR, CRR malonereporting.com

MS. FERKO: He spoke to her and asked her if she could

24

25

that make me suspect?

help him -- she could talk to the cousins who he -- it appeared 1 from the conversation that he had met before, he knew and would 2. she help him try to get with ISIS. And he thought she knew 3 4 someone with ISIS he could get with. Can you find that out for There was much discussion about the ability for him to fly 5 6 into Baghdad, the airport. Her cousin said that would be very dangerous for him. Mais did offer to go with him as his wife 7 8 if he was to travel, but her citizenship is pending, so she 9 does not have a passport. Her passport is pending with --10 THE COURT: If she leaves the country, she has to wait 11 another year or two. Right. I mean, there are other rules, but 12 MS. FERKO: 13 she had not been given a passport or her citizenship as a 14 matter of course. THE COURT: Why didn't you indict her? 15 16 MS. FERKO: Because once she talked to the cousin and the cousin said, "You can't come here, it is too dangerous," 17 she said, "I don't think you should go at all." And then she 18 starts trying to talk him out of it a bit. 19 20 THE COURT: So she is a recanted --21 MR. MARTINEZ: Not a full recruit, Your Honor. MS. FERKO: I think it was more she was talking 22 23 about --

Mayra Malone, CSR, RMR, CRR malonereporting.com

He is a recruiter.

He is not a recruit.

24

25

THE COURT:

MR. MARTINEZ:

THE COURT: He is a volunteer. 1 MR. MARTINEZ: And a recruiter, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Who is he recruiting? 3 MR. MARTINEZ: Well, actually, Judge, he went over 4 5 with a man by the name of SRG from McAllen. They went to 6 They were all prepared to go into Syria to join ISIS and then Khan received a call from home and said -- it was a 7 call that was intended to get him back, and it did. 8 "Mom is in She is going to die. You need to come home 9 grave danger. 10 immediately." 11 At that point, SRG, who didn't have a contact, which Khan did, said, "What the ... am I going to do? How am I 12 13 going to get into Syria?" 14 What Khan did, he has contact with another person 15 who we intend to pick up at some point. That man put him in 16 direct contact with folks who then took him in to Syria and SRG -- took SRG into Syria. SRG got in the battlefield. 17 is no longer with us, but it was solely through the connections 18 that Khan -- it was solely through Khan's connection with this 19 20 other person who we are trying to --21 THE COURT: That's what he apparently wanted. 22

MR. MARTINEZ: And I believe that Khan would probably have met the same fate that SRG had if he hadn't received that call. And he recruited SRG.

23

24

25

THE COURT: They went together. How do you know he

recruited?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MS. FERKO: Well, they didn't go together, Your Honor. They had known each other through high school, through Tomball.

THE COURT: SRG?

MS. FERKO: SRG and Khan.

THE COURT: Did anybody stay?

MS. FERKO: Pardon?

THE COURT: They are talking and conspiring and having all of these friends, they should have been in chemistry lab or something.

MS. FERKO: Most of this was done on social media, on Facebook. So they became Facebook friends in January of 2014 where Khan starts telling him, "I want to go to ISIS. Do you want to come with me? Here are some videos with some background on ISIS. Take a look. Let me know what you think." And clearly they had some previous conversations, whether it was via phone or something else but we --

THE COURT: Maybe they just talked to each other.

MS. FERKO: Well, Khan was in Australia at the time. He was living in Australia at the time, and we know SRG was in McAllen/Brownsville area going up to Houston to see his mother, so they were actually in separate countries.

THE COURT: With whom did he live in Australia?

MS. FERKO: We believe he lived with his uncle.

THE COURT: He was mooching off his relatives?

Mayra Malone, CSR, RMR, CRR malonereporting.com

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

```
MS. FERKO: Correct. Also, I think he had a menial
job, maybe at a gas station.
                   I think he was going to school there.
        MR. BERG:
        THE COURT: That's a menial job.
        MS. FERKO: Just from what Facebook says. It wasn't
clear he was going to school or where he was working.
        MR. BERG:
                   I quess it was some junior college level
classes he was taking while he was there before he came back
and enrolled at U of H.
        MR. MARTINEZ: He wasn't there long enough, it
wouldn't seem, Your Honor, to have taken those classes, Tom.
         THE COURT: You can always drop out.
                    They offer classes in Arabic. He also may
        MS. FERKO:
have gone to a local mosque, which we still can't really narrow
down, in Australia. Typically those mosques offer Arabic
classes, and I think one of the purposes was to learn Arabic,
so he may have been taking classes but --
         THE COURT: He can get Arabic classes anywhere.
        MR. MARTINEZ:
                       Sure.
        MS. FERKO: Correct.
                    University of Houston -- I mean University
        THE COURT:
of Texas used to --
                    They still do.
        MS. FERKO:
                     -- have a wonderful Asian, Near Eastern
        THE COURT:
language -- a friend who hated French so much in high school,
```

2.2

he learned Persian as his language. It turned out it was very useful because by the time he was a young lawyer working for me, the Shah was deposed. And he told me one morning that he found out that most French headwaiters are actually Iranians who left, and so he could always get a table by walking up and when they say, "No, there are no tables, I'm sorry, sir," he will say something like, "May a camel have carnal knowledge of your sister," in Persian, and he would get a table right away. You can never tell what your education can do for you.

MR. MARTINEZ: Judge, he didn't go there solely for education. This other person, who we believe we can capture in Syria, is somebody --

MS. FERKO: There are two people in Australia that we believe --

MR. MARTINEZ: -- who was their recruiter.

THE COURT: You are free to meet with them, but I need an overt act against America as opposed to talking about overt acts. Think of all the communists we locked up because they sat around and drank mad coffee in the Bronx and talked about how they can lead a proletarian revolution. That is fine. But unless there is a high probability of some part of it being accomplishable --

MR. MARTINEZ: Your Honor, the overt act was facilitating, finding the contacts that SRG needed to get into Syria to join the battle.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And, Your Honor, the defendant himself --If already being in Turkey and telling THE COURT: somebody you know in Turkey to talk to him so he can go into Syria and join whatever he wants to join is hardly facilitating. MS. FERKO: Your Honor, just so you understand, the timeline is a little tricky. They met in Turkey at the airport. Khan --THE COURT: They being? MS. FERKO: Khan and SRG. MR. MARTINEZ: Which was preplanned. Preplanned. But Khan was only there for MS. FERKO: hours because he caught a flight and flew back to the United States. Khan did not -- when Khan came to Houston, Texas, Khan then messaged him on Facebook while in Houston saying, "I talked to my friend Mohammed and provided phone numbers to him." And then SRG messaged him back a few hours later, saying, "The numbers aren't working. Can you reach out to him again?" So Khan was in Houston at that point and SRG was left in Turkey. The testimony from the agent yesterday when MR. BERG: I asked him point blank, "What did he do against the United States, "was, "Nothing, zippo." THE COURT: Your problem is you have got to sort the

cranks from the threats to America and, yes, semi-employed,

un-fully assimilated -- did he come here as a child? 1 MS. FERKO: He was born here, Your Honor. 2 MR. BERG: He was 18. He was an adolescent still. 3 Lots of stupid stuff going through his head. 4 5 THE COURT: And lots of native-born citizens turn into traitors. 6 MR. MARTINEZ: I mean, Judge, but to simplify, 7 8 providing a soldier to a terrorist organization is a violation of our law. 9 10 THE COURT: No. Did SRG have any abilities? 11 MS. FERKO: Yes, Your Honor. MR. MARTINEZ: As a soldier, sure. 12 THE COURT: Why? 13 14 MR. MARTINEZ: He joined the fray. He joined the battle. 15 16 THE COURT: No. MS. FERKO: Your Honor, he was a fit youth. He was 17 working out. He was ready to go fight and die, and he wanted 18 to kill as many people as possible. And we have those 19 20 statements and while he was over there --THE COURT: Not necessarily against the United States. 21 He could have really been mad at Assad. 2.2 The focus of their travel was to fight 23 MR. BERG: Assad, in their discussions. Of course, that was our focus 24 25 too.

THE COURT: We had 100 times more information on Major Hassan and nobody did anything.

MR. MARTINEZ: That's true.

THE COURT: And here, instead of focusing on the stuff that is available, we treat any stupid late adolescent -- if it's a man, it's an early adolescent really. He may have gone, but if you fly to Turkey and turn around and fly back because your mommy is sick, that may be a sign of insanity right there. But I don't see where he is anything other than wholly misguided, and he is playing it, just like all those girls who want to go marry --

MS. FERKO: Your Honor, he did apply again in October of 2014 and what was not said in public at the hearing was that because the FBI's investigation had already started at that time -- we established both those timelines. He applied to go back for a visa to Australia. The FBI notified the Australian authorities of their investigation of him and his prior travels and his attempt -- his intent to want to fight with ISIS, so his visa to Australia is in a pending status. It has not been denied, but the FBI interfered and said --

THE COURT: The thing about Australia, they took my son for a year to the University of Sydney, and I think that's all the damage we ought to do to Australia. Australia shouldn't let him in. If I were running Australia, I wouldn't let somebody like that in.

MS. FERKO: Right. But it is only because the FBI had an investigation open that we told Australia.

It was an investigation. It doesn't mean THE COURT: they know anything. And, besides, that's an intergovernmental diplomatic thing. And you don't have to have probable cause or anything to call a friendly nation and say, "We don't think you want this person."

MS. FERKO: I agree, Your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

THE COURT: That's not criminal justice. That is diplomacy.

MS. FERKO: This was not the State Department there, which would be the normal course. This was actually a criminal -- an intelligence agency to their intelligence agency.

THE COURT: We probably have existing relationships for that sort of information. It probably would have been no different if the FBI discovered he axe murdered his mother.

MS. FERKO: We wouldn't have interfered, frankly, unless we had a warrant out for him.

THE COURT: It is not interference. You know an axe murderer is heading off somewhere, but the fact that the State Department chooses to let those handle -- just like we have military-to-military relationships with them. It is still a diplomatic relationship because it is between foreign countries.

MR. MARTINEZ: Judge, in this case, the defendant is 1 charged with material support. That is, providing a soldier to 2 ISIS. That's as simple --3 I understand what the charge is. You have THE COURT: 4 yet to prove it. 5 MR. MARTINEZ: And the fact that he did recruit --6 MR. BERG: Actually, he is only charged with 7 8 conspiracy and attempt. MR. MARTINEZ: He is charged, Tom, with recruiting SRG 9 10 and having him go to and join ISIS, which is what he did. 11 THE COURT: That's not in the indictment. It is part of the allegations. 12 MS. FERKO: That's what is in Count Two of the 13 MR. MARTINEZ: 14 indictment. THE COURT: That's what he said. You have charged him 15 with conspiracy. 16 MR. BERG: And attempt. 17 MS. FERKO: Attempt to provide --18 That's anything from talking about it at 19 THE COURT: 20 Denny's and attempting. He is not charged with doing it. 21 MR. MARTINEZ: Judge, there are two parts to it. One is he recruited SRG to become a soldier for ISIS and he did. 2.2 The attempt part is that he himself agreed to become a soldier 23 for ISIS and attempted to do so and didn't complete the act 24 25 because he turned around and --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MS. FERKO: Your Honor, frankly, there would be a third count for an attempt to provide, the intent to provide SRG to ISIL. We waited on that account because we knew we were going to request search warrants for all his computers, his phone, items at the house, so we are still searching those items, so I didn't draft a third count related to SRG because we were looking for additional proof, and we are also trying to get proof of his definitive death. That is also complicated because he -- while we have a person who contacted his mother using his son's account saying, "Your son is dead, he died as a martyr," you know, that --

> Meaning we didn't trust him and shot him. THE COURT:

MS. FERKO: Your Honor, I don't know what that means. But the thing is I don't have DNA. We have his passport flagged. No one has used it. So there are certain things that we can prove in the abstract, but we were still waiting, trying to get some additional proof under some classification stuff, just to be up front with the Court.

THE COURT: He could have been in a bar fight in Aleppo.

MR. BERG: When my client was at the airport in Turkey and had talked with his parents, his father called the FBI emergency number that apparently has been dispensed to the mosques, to ask for help. And the agent who talked to him told him, "Your son is out of the country. We have no

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

jurisdiction." Then they said -- well, that's when they decided, well, we will just tell him mom is sick. And they did and he came home. He had cold feet before. There was a conversation with his friend that they recorded that indicated he was getting reluctant about it because he knew his parents weren't approving of this idea. So he had cold feet. His mother is sick. He comes home. He is home for all this time, and it is not until this week that he is this incredible danger to national security, even though they called the FBI. And this is the problem. We are talking about bond. We are not talking about the trial. MS. FERKO: Your Honor, he's --THE COURT: Wait. He didn't interrupt you all. MR. BERG: We are talking about whether he is a danger

to the community and a risk of flight that can't be addressed by conditions. Obviously, the conditions are there.

THE COURT: His parents knew about his goal?

MR. BERG: They learned of his goal. They contacted the FBI.

> THE COURT: When?

MR. BERG: They probably learned about it when he went -- when he left Australia and headed to Turkey.

THE COURT: He went to Turkey from Australia?

MR. BERG: He went from Australia to Turkey and then from Turkey back to Houston.

MS. FERKO: Your Honor, if I may, at the hearing, it was testified to --

THE COURT: Who testified?

MS. FERKO: Our agent testified that Khan left a letter to his uncle, who he was staying with -- it was addressed to Mahmood, and Khan uploaded a photo of this letter on his Facebook. The FBI is working to clear it up. It's very blurry. It is awful trying to read it. Ultimately, we believe that --

THE COURT: That sounds like my handwriting.

MS. FERKO: It is typed, but it is just blurred. It is hard to make out the characters. Ultimately, that is how his family -- through his uncle contacted his family here in the United States saying that he wasn't coming back; that he believed he was going to go fight. The letter reads to certain suras in the Koran. It doesn't say, "I'm going to join ISIS." It doesn't flatout say that. I'm not trying to say that's what the letter said. But his uncle was so concerned he did contact the family. I'm not sure about his family calling the FBI. I'm not aware of that.

THE COURT: Has the FBI called the police in Australia and asked for --

MS. FERKO: But nevertheless --

THE COURT: Wait. Has the FBI called the police in Australia and asked to get the letter and whatever else from

the uncle?

MS. FERKO: Your Honor, we have MLATs that are being written to do that. I don't know if they will go talk to the uncle. That has to go through the office of our internal -- or our national affairs, Your Honor. It is just a legal process in order for the United States to get evidence in another country, including the Five Eyes, friendly country. We would have to do the same thing with Canada, if we needed something from Canada. So it's a very convoluted process. I'm not very hopeful --

THE COURT: You can't trust those Canadians.

MS. FERKO: I'm not hopeful. I mean, we might be able to get someone to go to the uncle, if the uncle hasn't destroyed the letter or sent it to his parents. I don't know. We do have a photograph of it.

THE COURT: Have the FBI call the same person they called to tell them to kill his visa and ask them if they can get a clear copy of the letter and anything else.

MS. FERKO: It's a different level, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do something. Don't keep talking about "you are fixin' to send."

You have known about his stay in Australia since when?

MS. FERKO: Since October 2014. We found out -- we were told -- the FBI found out about SRG, and that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

investigation began in March of 2014. They were trying to figure out if he was missing, where he traveled. The family didn't know much. They started doing search warrants for all of his information. They figured out he had a Facebook account. When they got the return from Facebook, that was the end of August, early September, 10,000 pages. Went through it. By October, they sent a lead to Houston stating that this guy, Asher Khan, you need to look at.

THE COURT: What else is on SRG's Facebook account? MS. FERKO: His Facebook account -- Your Honor, one of the things I mentioned in the motion to consider is that, you know, he traveled over there. While he was over there and still alive, he would Facebook back his friends and say, "Come join me, come with me, come fight with me, " himself being a recruiter.

To his credit, all of his friends are saying, "You know what, dude? I think you are out there. I'm very happy being in America. I don't have the same feelings you do." You know, but we went and followed up and interviewed all those individuals, and that was what -- if they had talked to him on a different media, because it is not just Facebook. This is social media stuff. There is Kik. There's WhatsApp. There's Snapchat. There's all this stuff that the FBI -- we don't have eyes into. Facebook is, fortunately for us, something that is easy for us. So we are limited, Your Honor,

as far as --1 THE COURT: You can subpoena it? 2 MS. FERKO: I can't. I can't get it for Kik. Kik is 3 4 Canadian. They don't work with us. That's probably Snowden's outfit. He has 5 THE COURT: 6 got to do something. You can ask Mountings to do it. would need another MLAT, wouldn't you? 7 8 MS. FERKO: Yes, I would, Your Honor. They won't let me do much, Your Honor. 9 10 THE COURT: There is not much going on in Canada. 11 Send him an MLAT. MS. FERKO: What about Ottawa? 12 THE COURT: Some nut shot some people. He may have 13 said he was with ISIS, but do you know of any connection 14 between any organization -- that's like saying every redneck 15 16 racist is part of a conspiracy. They can't spell it. MS. FERKO: Your Honor, ISIS is something -- I don't 17 think our country has seen this before. 18 (Off the record discussion held) 19 20 This group publicly beheaded two American MS. FERKO: 21 journalists on television and put a movie out. 2.2 The Americans went into territory they THE COURT: controlled. No. An American who voluntarily subjects himself 23

to the authority of beheading theological fanatical murderers,

that is not against America anymore than somebody who robs you

24

25

in Paris.

2.2

MS. FERKO: Your Honor --

THE COURT: That's not a threat against America.

MS. FERKO: The threat to America now is the call from ISIS is, "If you can't come here, do something in your place."

THE COURT: I can't understand that and you can't generalize that to everybody who says "ISIS." That was the call of the communist actually all along because they were spying during the war and stuff, from the Revolution on.

MS. FERKO: Your Honor, communism wasn't attached to a seeking of martyrdom.

THE COURT: No. Well, it was, the martyrdom of the proletarian dictatorship.

MS. FERKO: But not of people.

MR. MARTINEZ: I think the difference is this, whether we agreed with what happened in Crawford or not, it does not make a difference, but ISIS has ordered its soldiers in the United States to start attacking people there. Those two people who went from Arizona to Crawford to attack those cartoon jars --

THE COURT: That's not the example she used. She used two people who went over there voluntarily to expose them to the world, or whatever, and they got beheaded. That happens to people who go jump -- you can't blame that on ISIS. ISIS is just staying home tending to its knitting, beheading local

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people, and then these journalists show up. They are not They don't have some -special. MS. FERKO: What Mr. Martinez stated was that --THE COURT: There are lots of people who go to Crawford with a qun. MR. MARTINEZ: And who provide material support to an organization, Your Honor, which is about to begin more attacks here in the United States. THE COURT: Yes. And you have got to get the people who do the attacks and do something substantial, who plan an This guy planned to leave the country. Apparently, he attack. did leave the country and then came back. Right? MR. MARTINEZ: He did, but the important part, Judge, is that he recruited another person, SRG, who did join the fray, but who was tricked into coming back because his mom was allegedly dying. MS. FERKO: And, Your Honor, the motivation for Mr. Khan's leaving --THE COURT: A man devoted to become a martyr would not turn around --MS. FERKO: But, Your Honor, it actually makes sense because his motive for going was he was going to die because his parents are bad Muslims. His parents work at Wal-Mart and they sell liquor. He doesn't take money from his parents.

I don't believe that.

THE COURT:

MS. FERKO: He doesn't. 1 Where does he live? 2 THE COURT: He lives with his parents. MS. FERKO: 3 THE COURT: Okay. Stop right there. 4 But he won't take money for school. 5 MS. FERKO: Wait a minute. Like most --6 THE COURT: These are his words. 7 MS. FERKO: 8 THE COURT: Ma'am, ma'am, like most religious 9 fanatics, he is a lying hypocrite. That is actually not a 10 crime, at least in the District of Columbia. He doesn't take 11 money? He lives off of them. He ate their food. He used 12 their electricity. He's a leech. That's why I surmised that 13 he was living off some relative in Australia. He is a semi-employable, uneducated, apparently peculiarly thoughted 14 15 person, and he is looking for a way to make something out of 16 himself psychologically. I brought a psychologist in. MR. MARTINEZ: Judge, who joined a terrorist 17 organization and who recruited a person to do the same. 18 19 THE COURT: I don't know that he joined it. He talked 20 about it. Everybody who downloads -- you said in the indictment that he downloaded their material. You can download 21 2.2 anything you want to in America and you can read it and you can 23 believe it. You can go visit Moscow because you are fond of 24 it, like Franklin Roosevelt, frankly. Or you can do like Harry

Truman who fired Harry Dexter White from the Treasury

25

Department because the Republicans had used it against him in politics, and they left the spy in place.

MR. MARTINEZ: Judge, this is a bit different. It isn't like you actually sign up, you swear allegiance, you go in and you have a formal ceremony. That isn't what ISIS does.

THE COURT: People who act spontaneously in support of things --

MR. MARTINEZ: And take overt acts to join the organization. This is not hollering "fire" in the theater. This is actually taking action, which he did, and a life is lost as a result of his actions.

THE COURT: If the theater is on fire, you should holler "fire."

MR. MARTINEZ: That's correct. That's why I said this isn't hollering "fire" in the theater. There was a fire. He did recruit a man. He did go to the battlefield, SRG did, and he would have gone had he not been tricked. The fact that he is feebleminded or weak of spirit, or whatever, isn't what is being charged. It is that he took overt action and he actually went himself to Turkey, and but for the ruse to get him home, he would be there.

MS. FERKO: Your Honor, his statements, when he talked to SRG when he was still over there -- he offered money. "I will help you. Tell me, whatever you need, I will send you."

And SRG was like, "No, no, no. I'm good. I have all I need.

2.2

this was dumb."

I'm with Allah." You know, he offered. What I'm saying is it
still continued.

MR. MARTINEZ: It didn't stop.

MS. FERKO: Your Honor, he didn't come home here and
say, "I made a grave mistake." Or, "Mom, Dad, you are right,

THE COURT: The question is whether we can detain him for trial when he is attempting to join the Communist Party of East Germany. They are murdering thugs. Any doubt about that?

MR. MARTINEZ: I don't think that the Communist Party of East Germany issued fatwas which called for the destruction of an entire country, which is the United States. That is part of their goal.

THE COURT: You misremember -- of course, you are too young to remember, but you misremember the Cold War and Soviet propaganda before the war, after the war, during the war. They thought we all had to die, being recalcitrant, running dogs and pigs through the capital of Budapest.

The only reason this seems more important is it's now. If your grandfather lost his job in the Depression, that doesn't seem troubling. If you got laid off for two weeks, that's a tragedy. That's present too.

In perspective of what is actually being done, it may be illegal, but I don't think he needs to stay in prison.

MR. MARTINEZ: Judge, he made an attempt after he came

back to go back to Syria, but for the FBI's intervention --1 THE COURT: He went to Australia. 2 MR. MARTINEZ: Which is where he went the first time. 3 THE COURT: I know, but not everybody who goes to 4 Australia a second time goes on to Turkey. 5 6 MR. MARTINEZ: That was his plan, and that's what the evidence indicates. 7 MS. FERKO: We have evidence with an individual he 8 spoke with and said he was going to go fight in Syria. 9 10 THE COURT: We will see if that is enough to convict 11 him on, but the question is: Does he stay in jail, awaiting the conviction? 12 13 MR. MARTINEZ: Judge, the standard being a very low 14 standard, more probable than not and there is really nothing --15 MR. BERG: The standard is the presumption of 16 innocence. I'm talking about risk of flight, Tom. 17 MR. MARTINEZ: That's what I'm talking about. That's preponderance of the 18 evidence. 19 20 That is drafted onto it. There is a THE COURT: 21 presumption. And a reason to require bail is to insure his attendance. Do you have his passport? 2.2 MS. FERKO: Your Honor, when they searched the house, 23 24 they could not locate the passport. Apparently, according to 25 pretrial services, the father has the passport. It was one of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

these things where the FBI was going to go back and ask to talk to the father and find out if they had kept the passport from him, preventing him from traveling. If you are trying to keep him from THE COURT: traveling, why don't you go get his passport? MS. FERKO: Your Honor, we had the hearing yesterday, and it would have been surrendered --Judge Johnson has required that the MR. BERG: passport be brought and surrendered as a condition of his I told the parents, "Bring his passport, along with the cash deposit which is required," and that is what they are going to do. MR. MARTINEZ: Judge, talking about presumption, I agree that there is presumption of innocence. But the thing is there is also a presumption, a rebuttable presumption that I don't think Tom rebutted, which is that he is a risk of flight. There is a rebuttable presumption that he is a risk of flight, based on the charges. THE COURT: I get to decide whether it is rebutted by Since Congress likes to have high sentences, that the data. presumption is applied a lot of places. I haven't read the record of the hearing yesterday. That's why I wanted to get you quickly.

Are you meeting the parents today?

MR. BERG:

I guess after the air conditioning people,

yes, I will.

THE COURT: Have they told you they are bringing the money and the passport?

MR. BERG: Yes. I spoke with them last night. Those are all the things I put in motion so that I could get my AC fixed.

THE COURT: Is there anything else that you think is important about what Judge Johnson said that I may not be aware of?

MR. BERG: No. I think her conditions are restrictive, but reasonably so, given the circumstances in trying to address as many of the government's concerns as she could, consistent with letting him out of jail.

THE COURT: And I understand that his sisters and his parents are in the house. Anybody can use a cell phone. He can also mail letters. He can call on the telephone. The idea that you can insulate anybody who is free from contact with whoever he wants is just an illusion.

MS. FERKO: Your Honor, the government's position on that is that he could pick up his neighbor's WiFi just by looking on his computer.

THE COURT: Or he could tell the neighbor what he wanted sent. He sends a message, if it's in code -- nobody could think -- I hope you are not eavesdropping on all the neighbors.

MS. FERKO: No. 1 Are you sure? 2 THE COURT: MS. FERKO: Positive. 3 THE COURT: If you want to know what they are saying, 4 call Snowden. 5 6 MS. FERKO: I think he's busy in Russia. 7 MR. MARTINEZ: Trying to break our codes. 8 THE COURT: I can't think of a better place for him. 9 Can you imagine? 10 MR. MARTINEZ: He would like to go back. 11 (Off the record discussion held) THE COURT: Are there any restrictions you think are 12 sensible that Johnson didn't put on him? 13 14 MS. FERKO: Your Honor, one thing that was mentioned 15 by the defendant, he spoke up and wanted to attend mosque for 16 his prayers. And under the religion, you don't need to go in a building to pray. He can pray in his house. 17 THE COURT: No religion requires that. 18 MS. FERKO: Correct, Your Honor. 19 20 THE COURT: That's the artifact of the self-appointed 21 bureaucrats here on earth. So the government's position would be --22 MS. FERKO: particularly, in the Islamic religion, you can pray whenever 23 If you can't make it, it doesn't matter. 24 It is not 25 like Catholics. They make you go on Sunday and donate your

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

money. But I would say, Your Honor, that we would ask that he is not permitted to go to the mosque. I thought he was confined to his house. THE COURT: MS. FERKO: He is not, Your Honor. Some of the conditions were he is allowed to go to school. He can go to the physical building of the school and attend classes. not sure he signed up for any summer classes. THE COURT: Is he registered? MR. BERG: He is. THE COURT: For what? MS. FERKO: He registered online. THE COURT: Don't --MS. FERKO: Sorry. I apologize, Your Honor. MR. BERG: He is enrolled at the University of Houston Central Campus. THE COURT: For what? MR. BERG: That I can't tell you. Some of them were the online courses. We told him he couldn't do that. going to have to read books like we all used to. You would be surprised how few online THE COURT: courses my education included. MR. BERG: He is allowed to come to my office. He is allowed to report to pretrial. Otherwise, he is home confinement, home jail, but he may attend mosque on Fridays and

during Ramadan. And that was essentially it.

2.2

Then the government wanted to tell him how to practice his religion, which caused me some heartburn. They said he doesn't have to go to mosque. Well, I have no religion, but I don't dictate to other people how they think they need to do it. And I don't like it when the government tells anybody how the government thinks that they should do it, so I have issues with that. If he thinks he needs to go to mosque to practice his religion, he can do so.

THE COURT: Or he can wear a headscarf. He can practice his religion exactly the same way that he would practice it in jail, talk to his God. And I don't preclude Islam generally, people who do crazy things in its name any more than I do Christians who shoot doctors because they disagree -- I love committing murder because you disagree with abortion. That's some clear thinking there. Or Ted Kaczynski blowing up people with high tech things because he hates technology.

The pretrial officer needs his schedule, and I will look at it, but I will probably allow him to go to class. We will know when he leaves and comes back. And I know he can go at the right time, skip class, but he is not supposed to do that.

MR. BERG: He will have his ankle bracelet so we'll know if he is not in class. He's at an active location or he's not.

THE COURT: We will have to figure out where the classrooms are. So get the schedule. Until pretrial has it long enough for them and me to look at it, he is not to go out there.

If he were allowed to go to the mosque, you wouldn't want me to pick which mosque. There are mosques all over town.

MR. BERG: It was the one he was attending in Spring.

MR. MARTINEZ: There is one mosque, and it's the one he was attending in Spring, where they would like him not to come back because of his radical ideas about Islam.

MR. BERG: That's their issue, if they say, "Don't come in the door, we don't want you." They just gave him an award on the 25th because of his Sunday school teaching. I got the certificate. There may be some people who are uncomfortable because he has been charged, and they fear repercussion from the community because it has been publicized in the media, but I don't think he has done anything untoward there.

MR. MARTINEZ: I think all that occurred before the charges.

THE COURT: As a state judge, I had the occasion to adjudicate a lot of corporate control issues with religious institutions. That's one problem with a freestanding church, titles and who can vote, who may enter. I'm not going to do

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If they don't want him, all they have to do is tell him they don't want him. If he comes anyway, just call the police. MR. BERG: Then he's a trespasser and he's in violation of his conditions. That's easy. THE COURT: Right. Call the police if he violates that condition and let that get handled, and I will take care of it later. MR. MARTINEZ: My point was they wouldn't have to call the police or anything. It's up to them. I agree with you. If they want to have him there, fine. If not, that's up to them. But if they want to accept him and try to THE COURT: heal him, that's fine by me too, but they will have to do it with him not there. They can pray for him. Anything else I need to know? MS. FERKO: Your Honor, just about the no Internet, no I mean, I think we both agree that seems smartphone. impossible. He's not to do it, but it's impossible to THE COURT: I don't want you to expect that you are accomplishing anything by that. MS. FERKO: That was the judge's statement. Not mine. THE COURT: It might have some affect on him, but if he wants to blow up America, he will probably use a cell phone. Is there a way, Your Honor, that pretrial MS. FERKO:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would have the ability, if there is some reason to suspect he is doing something on the computer, to go get the computer, to have access to them having the computers and then we can get warrants --They can look at whatever they want on --THE COURT: MS. FERKO: So they can check his computer and look for usage or look for whatever he may --MR. BERG: I thought you took the computer. MS. FERKO: I think right now we do, but, I mean, typically the FBI -- we don't keep computers. They image them and give them back. When they are ready to give them back, we MR. BERG: can have this discussion, if we ever get it back. usually get anything back from the FBI. We usually have to file motions for the return of property. THE COURT: The government, in all of its capacity, loves to take stuff to not give it back. They do it all the time. It's other people's evidence. Its capacity to seize is not the same as MR. BERG: its capacity to inventory and find it later. (Off the record discussion held) THE COURT: All right. So the condition is he will be released when he does all of that stuff, and he can't go to school until I have the schedule --

MR. BERG: Until you have the schedule.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: -- with the rooms. MR. MARTINEZ: Judge, can I ask you to do one thing, And that would be to stay your order of release until please? the U.S. Attorney has made a determination on whether or not to appeal. THE COURT: 3:00? MR. MARTINEZ: He's in Alaska right now, and I don't know if I can get ahold of him. THE COURT: Did he leave somebody in charge? not telling me leadership is one deep over there, are you? MR. MARTINEZ: No. I will try to get ahold of him and if not, I will make the decision myself. It is not going to get easier or anything. THE COURT: But if they appeal it, I will stay it. MR. MARTINEZ: Thank you, Your Honor. MS. FERKO: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Assuming you do an expedited appeal. MR. MARTINEZ: Yes, sir. THE COURT: It's one of those -- I just had you all intervene three and a half years after the qui tam was filed. Availa is a great guy. He just has no control over those cases. And so I just said three and a half years. probably two and a half years too much in order to go ahead.

I had one that

And, gosh, two months later, they intervene.

went five years, and they said, "Well, maybe" --

MR. MARTINEZ: Your Honor, I don't know that we could 1 actually appeal by today unless we have a written order from 2 the Court because we wouldn't have anything to defer to. 3 The order is going to be that Johnson's 4 THE COURT: detention order, or non-detention order, or whatever you call 5 that is --6 MR. BERG: Order of release. 7 8 MS. FERKO: We don't have anything from Judge Johnson. 9 THE COURT: There are terms except for --10 MR. BERG: Did you actually file anything to revoke 11 the order of release? MS. FERKO: I emailed it to you. 12 THE COURT: Do you want mine? 13 MR. BERG: I haven't seen it. 14 THE COURT: It has been filed. You can have it. 15 16 MR. BERG: Motion for continued stay and revocation of release order denied. I think that's what you appealed from is 17 the denial of motion for continued stay and revocation of 18 release order. 19 20 I thought that was the motion? THE COURT: 21 MR. MARTINEZ: That's the appeal from Judge Johnson. That's their appeal from Judge Johnson's 22 MR. BERG: order. 23 24 There is a proposed order there, along MS. FERKO: 25 with an attachment.

This is actually the original that has your 1 ink on it. I will probably let you keep that one. 2 Thanks. THE COURT: 3 MR. BERG: Certainly. 4 Your Honor, we have a copy. 5 MR. MARTINEZ: 6 MS. FERKO: I do have a copy. You can have this one. 7 THE COURT: When you do something in a hurry, bring a handful of copies. 8 MR. MARTINEZ: Your Honor, I would kindly ask for you 9 10 to give us 24 hours because I do have to get authorization. 11 MR. BERG: He was arrested last week. We spent -- we had to continue it five days, until yesterday. 12 Then they stayed it until today. 13 MS. FERKO: Your Honor --14 15 MR. BERG: That's enough. 16 THE COURT: Don't interrupt. MS. FERKO: I thought he was finished. 17 They should be able to anticipate that 18 MR. BERG: occasionally they lose on these issues and be ready for it. Ι 19 20 have to do that all the time because I lose all the time. 21 THE COURT: That's not entirely personal. Judge, the only thing I wanted to say is 22 MS. FERKO: he had his -- he was listed at 2:00 on Wednesday. Mr. Berg was 23 unavailable Thursday or Friday. That's why it was set on 24 25 Monday and his client sat in jail until Monday was because of

Mr. Berg's availability.

2.2

THE COURT: He is not everywhere.

MS. FERKO: I understand. I mean, he is making it sound like the government pushed this --

THE COURT: When did you arrest him?

MS. FERKO: He was arrested on Tuesday, Your Honor, and he was in court Wednesday and we were ready to go, and we said we could do the hearing on Thursday. His initial was -- because of the flood, his initial was moved, so we were unable to get him that afternoon.

THE COURT: He has been in jail. You had a full hearing before Judge Johnson. I reviewed the order and what you all told me about the proceedings down there. And I see no reason to change it except for that one little wrinkle about the mosque.

MR. MARTINEZ: I reurge that we need to have the time to make the call to Washington to get the approval on that.

THE COURT: They are back from lunch now. It is

1:00 in Washington, and they are only going to work until about

3:30. This is a very simple chore of administering this case.

The government has to learn to act. And even if Berg took six

months, the question is: How much does -- Magidson told me the

other day -- actually it was damp Tuesday -- somebody called

and said they wouldn't be there for the hearing on Tuesday or

Monday, whatever day it was --

MS. FERKO: Oh, because of the flood?

THE COURT: Because the U.S. Attorney's Office was closed. And so I called and left a voice mail for the U.S. Attorney, having never gotten anybody at the reception desk. And about an hour later, he walked in. And I said, "112 people and nobody is working? We are not closed and you all shouldn't be closed."

MS. FERKO: I was here, Your Honor. We weren't.

THE COURT: If only half of you come in, you are overstaffed on any given day. Come and do your job. We have a good staff. But the problem with days like that is they close the schools, which is dead wrong, especially in poor neighborhoods. They should have the schools open. They will be warm in the winter and dry in the floods. They also have food, which may not exist in their homes.

When I drove past Hunter's Creek Elementary in the Spring Branch District on my way in, there were three people there, cleaning up the debris in the yard, doing some odds and ends like that. The janitors made it in. The lowest paid, lowest skilled people on the payroll made it in while the principals and assistant principals and people all were sitting at home watching soap operas, or whatever people do when they stay home. I think it's scary. That's why I never stay home.

We got covered. All four hearings, lawyers showed up. It helps to have a receptionist that works. At

1	least Magidson checks his voice mail or something.
2	MR. MARTINEZ: Can I have that 24 hours or not?
3	THE COURT: It's a simple decision. Decide and let me
4	know immediately so I can say the order will say it is
5	contingent on a no continuous appeal.
6	Thank you.
7	(Proceedings concluded at 12:03 p.m.)
8	* * * * I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled cause.
10	Date: June 3, 2015
11	/~ / 15 15-7
12	/s/ Mayra Malone
13	Mayra Malone, CSR, RMR, CRR Official Court Reporter
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	